Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	arv	10/614,524	ARNAUT ET AL.
	ui y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Anne R. Kubelik	1638
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) <u>Anne R. Kubelik</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Christopher North</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 8/14/06		Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: .	☐ Applica No	nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH	IE GENEF	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner told Applicant's representative that claims 74 and 58 were of the same scope. Applicant's representative answered that the claims were not redundant; claim 74 encompasses nucleic acids encoding proteins larger than 80 kD. Applicant requested that the protein size limitation be removed from claim 58 and inserted in claim 74, and that claims corresponding to claim 59-60, but dependent upon claim 74 be added; Applicant approved the examiner's amendment for claims 58, 74 and 76-77 as presented in the Examiner's amendment.